```
OC3KMASP
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                                23 CR 347 (JGK)
                 V.
 5
      ALEXANDER MASHINSKY,
 6
                                               Plea
                     Defendant.
7
8
                                                New York, N.Y.
 9
                                                December 3, 2024
                                                3:00 p.m.
10
11
      Before:
12
                            HON. JOHN G. KOELTL,
13
                                                District Judge
14
                                 APPEARANCES
15
      DAMIAN WILLIAMS
           United States Attorney for the
16
           Southern District of New York
      ALLISON C. NICHOLS
17
      ADAM SLOAN HOBSON
      PETER DAVIS
18
           Assistant United States Attorneys
19
      MUKASEY YOUNG LLP
           Attorneys for Defendant
20
      BY: MARC L. MUKASEY
           TORREY K. YOUNG
21
           MICHAEL F. WESTFAL
           SAL H. CHAN
22
      Also Present:
23
     Brandon Racz, FBI
24
25
```

(Case called)

MR. HOBSON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Adam Hobson, Allison Nichols, and Peter Davis, for the government. We're joined by Special Agent Brandon Racz of the FBI.

MS. NICHOLS: Good afternoon, your Honor.

MS. YOUNG: Good afternoon. Torrey Young, from the law firm Mukasey Young, on behalf of the defendant,

Alex Mashinsky. And I am joined at counsel's table by my colleagues, Marc Mukasey and Michael Westfal and Sal Chan. And Mr. Mashinsky is to my left.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, all.

I have a plea agreement, dated December 2, 2024; a letter addressed to Ms. Mukasey and others, that appears to be signed on behalf of the government, and approved, and appears to be signed by Mr. Mashinsky and Ms. Mukasey on December 2, 2024. I have marked it as Court Exhibit 1. It appears, from Court Exhibit 1, that the defendant wishes to enter a plea of guilty to Counts Two and Five of the indictment.

Is that what the defendant wishes to do?

MS. YOUNG: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

I also have a consent preliminary order of forfeiture, which appears to be signed on behalf of the government, also appears to be signed by Mr. Mashinsky and Ms. Young December 2, 2024.

```
1
               So, do the parties agree that I can sign the consent
      preliminary order of forfeiture?
 2
 3
               MR. HOBSON: Yes, your Honor.
 4
               MS. YOUNG: Yes, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay.
 6
               Mr. Fletcher, please administer the oath to the
7
      defendant.
8
               (Defendant sworn)
 9
               THE COURT: Please state your full name for the
10
      record.
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Alex Mashinsky.
12
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
13
               THE COURT: Mr. Mashinsky, do you understand that you
14
      are now under oath, and that if you answer any of my questions
15
      falsely, your false or untrue answers may later be used against
16
      you in another prosecution for perjury or making a false
17
      statement?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Tell me your full name, please.
20
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Alex Mashinsky.
21
               THE COURT: How old are you?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: I'm 59 years old.
23
               THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
2.4
               THE DEFENDANT: I attended college. I did not
25
      graduate.
```

OC3KMASP 1 THE COURT: All right. 2 Are you a citizen of the United States? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I am. 4 THE COURT: Are you able to speak and understand 5 English? THE DEFENDANT: I am. 6 7 THE COURT: Are you now, or have you recently been, under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: I have a medical doctor, your Honor, 10 that I am seeing. 11 THE COURT: Is there anything about any of the 12 conditions for which you see your doctor, do any of those 13 conditions affect your ability to understand these proceedings 14 and to consult with your lawyers? 15 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized 17 for any mental illness or any type of addiction, including drug 18 or alcohol addiction? 19 THE DEFENDANT: I have not. 20 THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any 21 medicine, drugs, or pills, or have you drunk any alcohol? 22 THE DEFENDANT: I did not, your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?

THE COURT: Are you feeling all right today?

Yes, it is.

THE DEFENDANT:

2.4

25

24

25

1 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 2 THE COURT: Do either counsel have any doubt as to the 3 defendant's competence to plead at this time? 4 MR. HOBSON: No, your Honor. 5 MS. YOUNG: No, your Honor. 6 Mr. Mashinsky, your lawyer has informed me THE COURT: 7 that you wish to enter a plea of guilty to Counts Two and Five of the indictment. 8 9 Is that what you wish to do? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Have you had a full opportunity to discuss 12 your case with your lawyers and to discuss the consequences of 13 entering a plea of guilty? 14 THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your lawyers and 16 their representation of you? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 18 THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Mashinsky's responses 19 to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find 20 that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this 21 time. 22 Now, Mr. Mashinsky, before I accept any plea from you, 23 I'm going to be asking you certain questions. My questions are

intended to satisfy me that you wish to plead quilty because

you are, in fact, guilty, and that you fully understand the

consequences of your plea, and, furthermore, that you are pleading guilty knowingly and voluntarily, and that there is an independent basis in fact for your plea.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm now going to describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States, which rights you will be giving up if you enter a plea of guilty. Please listen to me very carefully. If there is anything that I say that you don't understand, please ask me to stop, and either I or Ms. Young will explain it to you more fully.

All right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Mashinsky, under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you which are contained in the indictment.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be presumed to be innocent, and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent at trial.

OC3KMASP 1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 4 12 people, selected from this district, would have to agree 5 unanimously that you were guilty. Do you understand that? 6 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the 8 right to be represented by a lawyer, and if you could not 9 afford a lawyer, a lawyer would be provided to you free of 10 cost. 11 Do you understand that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: I do. 13 THE COURT: Indeed, you have a right to be represented 14 by a lawyer at trial and at every other stage of the 15 proceedings, and if you could not afford a lawyer, a lawyer 16 would be provided to you free of cost. 17 Do you understand that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: As I mentioned, if there were a trial, you would be presumed to be innocent, and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent at trial.

Do you understand that?

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you, and your attorney could cross-examine them; you would have a right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your behalf if you so desired; and you would have the right to have subpoenas issued or other compulsory process used to compel witnesses to testify in your defense, and you would not be required to testify.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but no one could force you to testify if you didn't want to. And, furthermore, no inference or suggestion of guilt could be drawn if you chose not to testify at trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mashinsky, do you understand each and every one of the rights that I have described to you?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a plea of guilty today, you are giving up each and every one of those

25

1 rights, that you are waiving those rights, and that you will 2 have no trial? 3 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your 5 mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of guilty? You don't 6 have to enter this plea if you don't want to for any reason at 7 all. 8 Do you understand that completely? 9 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Mashinsky, you've received a copy of the indictment against you; is that correct? 11 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 13 THE COURT: And have you read it? 14 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 15 THE COURT: Did you discuss the indictment with your 16 lawyers? 17 THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Do you understand what you are charged 19 with in the indictment? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 21 THE COURT: You understand that if you did not plead 22 guilty, the government would be required to prove each and 23 every part, or element, of the charges against you in the 2.4 indictment beyond a reasonable doubt at trial?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Let me go over with you Counts Two and Five of the indictment, just to make sure that I'm satisfied that you understand what you are charged with in those counts and what the government would be required to prove in each of those counts.

So, Count Two charges commodities fraud. It charges, the grand jury further charges: The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 71 of this indictment are hereby repeated, realleged, and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

And then paragraph 75: From at least in or about 2018 through at least in or about 2022, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, Alexander Mashinsky, the defendant, willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, used and employed, and attempted to use and employ, in connection with a swap, a contract of sale of a commodity in interstate commerce, and for future delivery on and subject to the rules of a registered entity, a manipulative and deceptive advice and contrivance, in contravention of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 180.1, by:

- (1) using and employing, and attempting to use and employ, a manipulative device, scheme, and artifice to defraud;
- (2) making, and attempting to make, an untrue and misleading statement of material fact and omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements not

untrue and misleading;

And (3) engaging and attempting to engage in an act, practice, and course of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon a person, to wit, Mashinsky engaged in a fraudulent scheme to induce investors to sell their Bitcoin to Celsius in exchange for an interest in Celsius' earn Program by making false and misleading statements about Celsius' financial condition and operations, in violation of Title 7, United States Code, Sections 9(1) and 13(a)(5), and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 180.1, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Do you understand that's what you were charged with in Count Two of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you did not plead guilty, the government would be required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial: First, that you, the defendant, (a) employed a manipulative device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; or (b) made an untrue statement of a material fact, or admitted to state a material fact, which made what was said under the circumstances misleading; or (c) engaged in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person; and, second, that the scheme, untrue statement, act, practice, or course of conduct was in connection with a contract of sale of any commodity in

25

interstate commerce; and, third, that you, the defendant, acted 1 knowingly, willfully, and with an intent to defraud? 2 3 Do you understand that the government would be 4 required to prove all of those elements beyond a reasonable 5 doubt at trial? 6 I understand, your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 7 THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum penalty 8 for the crime charged in Count One is --9 MS. YOUNG: It's Count Two, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Count Two. Thank you. 11 Mr. Mashinsky, do you understand that the maximum 12 penalty for the crime charged in Count Two is a maximum term of 13 ten years' imprisonment; a maximum term of three years' 14 supervised release; a maximum fine of the greatest of 15 \$1 million or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to a person or 16 17 persons other than yourself as a result of the offense; and a 18 mandatory \$100 special assessment? 19 Do you understand that's the maximum penalty for the 20 crime charged in Count Two of the indictment? 21 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Turning to Count Five. Count Five alleges 23 a fraudulent scheme to manipulate the price of CEL. 24 The grand jury further charges: The allegations

contained in paragraphs 1 through 71 and 83 of this indictment

are hereby repeated, realleged, incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

From at least in or about 2019 through at least in or about June 2022, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, Alexander Mashinsky and Roni Cohen-Pavon, the defendants, willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by use of a means and instrumentality of interstate commerce and of the mails, and a facility of a national securities exchange, used and employed, in connection with the purchase and sale of a security, a manipulative and deceptive device and contrivance, in violation of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5 by:

- (a) employing a device, scheme, and artifice to defraud;
- (b) making an untrue statement of a material fact and omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made in light of the circumstances under which they were made not misleading; and
- (c) engaging in an act, practice, and course of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon a person, to wit, Mashinsky and Cohen-Pavon engaged in a scheme to defraud investors in CEL token by artificially manipulating the market for CEL token and through making false and misleading statements about Celsius' purchase of CEL token and making false and misleading statements about Mashinsky's

own sales of CEL token, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78j(b) and 78ff, Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

Do you understand that's what you are charged with in Count Five of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you did not plead guilty, the government would be required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial, first, that in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, you, the defendant, did any one or more of the following:

First, (a) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, or (b) made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact that made what was said under the circumstances misleading, or (c) engaged in an act, practice, or course of conduct that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser or seller;

Second, that you, the defendant, acted willfully, knowingly, and with intent to defraud;

And, third, that you, the defendant, knowingly used, or caused to be used, any means or instruments of transportation or communications in interstate commerce, or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent scheme?

The security that is the object of Count Five is the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CEL token. The government has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that the CEL token is a security. One recognized type of security is an investment contract. An investment contract means a contract, transaction, or scheme, whereby a person invests money in a common enterprise with the expectation of profits to be derived from the efforts of others.

The CEL token constitutes investment contracts, and therefore securities, if the jury finds beyond a reasonable doubt the following three requirements are met:

- (1) the transaction consisted of an investment in a common venture;
- (2) the transaction was premised on a reasonable expectation of profits;

And (3) those profits were to be derived substantially from the efforts of others.

Do you understand the government would be required to prove all of that beyond a reasonable doubt at trial?

THE DEFENDANT: May I confer with my lawyers for a second?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Counsel conferred with defendant)

THE DEFENDANT: I understand Count Five, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Any questions?

THE DEFENDANT: I already had all the questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

Do you understand that the maximum penalty for the crime charged in Count Five is a maximum term of 20 years' imprisonment; a maximum term of three years of supervised release; a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to a person or persons other than yourself as a result of the offense; and a mandatory \$100 special assessment?

Do you understand that's the maximum penalty for the crime charged in Count Five of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that when I talk about supervised release, supervised release means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison, and the monitoring is to be under terms and conditions which could lead to reimprisonment without a jury trial if you violate them?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if you violated the terms of supervised release and were sentenced to prison, you could be sentenced to prison for the entire term of supervised release, without any credit for any time you had

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

already spent on supervised release?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that, as part of your sentence, I can also order restitution to any person injured as a result of your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: The indictment contains a forfeiture The indictment says that, as a result of committing provision. the offenses in Counts One and Three through Seven of this indictment, as to Alexander Mashinsky, the defendant, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any and all property, real and personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of said offenses, including, but not limited to, a sum of money in United States currency representing the amount of proceeds traceable to the commission of said offenses, and if any of the above-described forfeitable property cannot be located or has been transferred or placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court or substantially diminished in value or commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty, then it's the intent of the government to have you forfeit any other property that you have up to the value of the forfeitable property.

Do you understand that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And so do you understand that, as a result 3 of your plea, I can order forfeiture? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I have signed the order of forfeiture that 6 was provided to me. Do you understand that? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Do you also understand that you are 9 pleading guilty to two separate counts, and that I can order 10 that the sentences on those counts be served concurrently, that 11 is, at the same time, or consecutively, that is, one right after the other? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So, do you understand that the maximum 15 penalty for both counts is 30 years' imprisonment? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And I can also order that the fines and 18 the special assessments be added together, and there's a 19 special assessment of \$100 on each of the counts, so, adding 20 those together, you face a special assessment of \$200. 21 Do you understand that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that. 23 THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept 24 your quilty plea and adjudge you quilty, that adjudication may 25 deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, you've told me that you are a citizen of the United States, but I always advise defendants that if they're not citizens of the United States, the conviction can be used to remove them from the United States, to deny them citizenship, and to deny them admission to the United States in the future.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Mashinsky, under current law, there are sentencing guidelines that judges must consult in determining your sentence. You have spoken to your lawyers about the sentencing guidelines, haven't you?

THE DEFENDANT: I did.

THE COURT: You understand that I, as the sentencing Court, will not be able to determine your guideline sentence until after the probation department has completed the presentence report, and after you and your lawyer have the opportunity to challenge anything contained in the report, and to bring those challenges to my attention?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that even after the

basic guideline sentencing range is determined in your case, I have the authority in some circumstances to depart upward or downward from the guideline sentencing range?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that even after
I have made that calculation of the appropriate guideline
sentencing range in your case, taking into account any upward
or downward departures, I must then consider other statutory
factors in order to arrive at a final conclusion as to what the
appropriate and reasonable sentence is in your case?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if you are sentenced to prison, parole has been abolished, and you will not be released any earlier on parole?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that if your lawyer or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, that their estimate or prediction could be wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: No one, Mr. Mashinsky — not your lawyers, not the government, no one — can or should give you any assurance of what your sentence will be since that sentence can

2.4

only be determined after the probation department has completed the presentence report, after I have ruled on any challenges to the report, and after I have determined what the appropriate and reasonable sentence is in your case.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that even if your sentence is different from what your lawyer or anyone else told you that it might be, or if it's different from what you expect it to be, you will still be bound by your guilty plea, and you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Mashinsky, I have been given the plea agreement, which you heard me talk about at the outset.

It's the December 2, 2024 letter from the government to your lawyers. It appears to be signed by the government and by yourself and Ms. Mukasey on December 2, 2024.

Have you signed this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you read the agreement before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: I did.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your lawyers before you signed it?

1 THE DEFENDANT: I did. 2 THE COURT: Did you fully understand the agreement 3 before you signed it? I did. 4 THE DEFENDANT: 5 THE COURT: Does this letter agreement constitute your 6 complete and total understanding of the entire agreement 7 between the government, your lawyers, and you? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 9 THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about 10 your plea and your sentence contained in this plea agreement? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Has anything been left out? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Not that I know of. 14 THE COURT: Has anyone offered you any inducements or 15 threatened you or forced you to plead quilty or to enter into 16 this plea agreement? 17 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: There is a provision in the plea agreement 19 that provides: It is agreed that the defendant will not file a 20 direct appeal or otherwise challenge by petition, pursuant to 21 28, U.S.C., Section 2255, or any other provision, his 22 conviction. 23 In addition to any other claims the defendant might 24 raise, the defendant waives the right to challenge the 25 conviction based on: (1) any nonjurisdictional defects in the

2.4

proceedings before entry of this plea; (2) a claim that the statutes to which the defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional; and (3) a claim that the admitted conduct does not fall within the scope of the statutes.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: It goes on to say: It is further agreed that the defendant will not file a direct appeal or otherwise challenge by petition, pursuant to 28, U.S.C., Section 2255, or any other provision, any sentence within or below the stipulated guidelines sentence of 360 months' imprisonment.

So, do you understand that if I sentence you to 360 months' imprisonment or less, you have given up your right to appeal that sentence or to challenge any such sentence in any proceeding, including any habeas corpus proceeding?

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: The paragraph also says that the defendant further agrees not to appeal or bring a collateral challenge of any term of supervised release imposed by the Court for which he has notice, including from a recommendation by the probation office or in the presentence investigation report and an opportunity to object.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: It also provides that the defendant also agrees not to appeal or bring a collateral challenge of any fine that is less than or equal to \$5 million; the defendant also agrees not to appeal or bring a collateral challenge of any forfeiture amount that is less than or equal to \$48,393,446; and the defendant also agrees not to appeal or bring a collateral challenge to any special assessment that is less than or equal to \$200.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that the Court is not bound by the plea agreement or by any of the provisions in the plea agreement? The Court must make an independent determination of the appropriate sentence in your case, and if that sentence differs from anything that's contained in the plea agreement, you will still be bound by your guilty plea, and you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea of guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Young, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at the trial of Mr. Mashinsky?

MS. YOUNG: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why
Mr. Mashinsky should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MS. YOUNG: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Mashinsky, please tell me what you did in connection with the two crimes to which you are entering a plea of guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, as to Count Two, in December 2021, in connection with the Celsius Earn Program, part of which included electronic sales of the commodity Bitcoin in exchange for the CEL tokens that were used to pay customer rewards, I gave an interview in which I suggested that Celsius had received approval from regulators even though I knew that was not true.

I understand at the time that customers would likely find false comfort in that misrepresentation, and that it would be material to their decision to invest in the Earn Program.

As to Count Five, in September of 2019, I intentionally failed to disclose that I was selling my CEL token holdings even though I represented to the public, using electronic communications and wires, that I was not selling.

I understand at the time that customers would likely find false comfort in that misrepresentation, and that it would be material to their decision to purchase or sell CEL token as an investment contract.

I was working in the Southern District of New York as a CEO of Celsius at these times. I know what I did was wrong, and I want to try to do whatever I can to make it right. I accept full responsibility for my actions.

1	THE COURT: All right.
2	You mentioned that you were working in the Southern
3	District of New York with respect to both Counts Two and Five;
4	is that right?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Was that in Manhattan?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: In connection with all these offenses, did
9	you act knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Can you repeat that? Do what? I
11	didn't hear the beginning of the sentence.
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	In connection with both offenses, Count Two and
14	Count Five
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: did you act knowingly, willfully, and
17	with the intent to defraud?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: When you did these acts, did you know that
20	what you were doing was wrong and illegal?
21	
	THE DEFENDANT: I knew it was wrong, your Honor.
22	THE DEFENDANT: I knew it was wrong, your Honor. THE COURT: Did you know that what you were doing was
22	THE COURT: Did you know that what you were doing was

THE DEFENDANT: I definitely don't know -- didn't know

(Counsel conferred with defendant)

3 what law I might have been violating, but I knew it was wrong.

THE COURT: Unlawful, illegal, even if you didn't know the specific law?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Does the government want me to ask any other questions of the defendant?

MR. HOBSON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Tell me what the government's evidence would be at trial.

MR. HOBSON: Your Honor, the evidence would include, among other things: The defendant's many public statements about Celsius; evidence from Celsius' internal files, including their financials, their emails, and other corporate documents showing those statements were false; testimony from Celsius employees who would talk about how they told the defendant that his statements were false, but he nonetheless continued making the statements; and testimony from Celsius' employees who will testify that they engaged in market manipulation at the defendant's direction to inflate the price of CEL token.

THE COURT: And would the government's evidence establish the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

MR. HOBSON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. 1 2 Mr. Mashinsky, how do you plead to the charge against 3 you in Count Two of the indictment, quilty or not quilty? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I plead guilty. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Mashinsky, how do you plead to the 6 charge against you in Count Five of the indictment, quilty or 7 not guilty? 8 I plead guilty. THE DEFENDANT: 9 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because you are, 10 in fact, quilty? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of 13 your own free will? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. 15 THE COURT: Before I finally accept the defendant's 16 plea, Ms. Young, do you want me to ask any other questions of 17 the defendant? 18 MS. YOUNG: No, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason that I should 20 not accept the defendant's plea? 21 MS. YOUNG: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Before I finally accept the defendant's 23 plea, Mr. Hobson, does the government want me to ask any other 24 questions of the defendant? 25 MR. HOBSON: Your Honor, can you confirm that he

```
admits the forfeiture allegation with respect to Count Five?
1
 2
               THE COURT: All right.
 3
               Mr. Mashinsky, do you admit the forfeiture allegation
      for Count Five?
 4
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: And you have signed the forfeiture consent
7
      order already, right?
8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
               So, Mr. Hobson, does the government want me to ask any
11
      other questions of the defendant?
12
               MR. HOBSON: No, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Does the government know of any reason
14
      that I should not accept his plea?
15
               MR. HOBSON: No, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: All right.
17
               Mr. Mashinsky, because you acknowledge that you are
18
      guilty as charged in Counts Two and Five of the indictment,
19
     because I find that you know your rights and are waiving them
20
      knowingly and voluntarily, because I find that your plea is
21
      entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an
22
      independent basis in fact containing each of the essential
23
      elements of the offense, I accept your guilty plea, and I
24
      adjudge you guilty of the offenses to which you have pleaded.
```

Mr. Mashinsky, the probation department will now

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

date.

```
prepare the presentence report to assist me in sentencing you.
You will be interviewed by the probation department.
                                                      It's very
important that the information you provide to the probation
department be truthful and accurate. The presentence report is
very important to me in my decision as to what your sentence
will be. You and your lawyers will have the opportunity to
review the presentence report, to challenge anything contained
in the report, and then to bring those changes to my attention,
and then to speak on your behalf at sentencing.
        Mr. Fletcher, can we get a date?
         THE DEPUTY CLERK:
                           Tuesday, April 8, 2025, at
11:30 a.m.
         THE COURT:
                    Is that satisfactory to both sides?
        MR. HOBSON: Yes, your Honor.
        MR. MUKASEY: May we have one moment to check the
calendar, Judge?
         THE COURT: Sure.
         (Pause)
        MR. MUKASEY: April 8th is fine, Judge.
                                                  Thanks.
         THE COURT: Okay.
         I'll ask for defense submissions in connection with
sentence 21 days before the sentencing date and the
government's submissions at least 14 days before the sentencing
```

MR. MUKASEY: May I ask a question, Judge, on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

submissions? I've read your rules.

THE COURT: It's usually 14 days. I suspected that there might be more than the usual submissions in connection with sentence.

MR. MUKASEY: Your hunch is correct, I think, and I am wondering, number one, whether, after the government's submission 14 days prior, we can make a reply?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MUKASEY: In the absence of that, again, I'm familiar with your rules, Judge, I would request, in the alternative, simultaneous submissions.

THE COURT: No, you can make a reply.

MR. MUKASEY: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: So, seven days before sentence for a reply -21, 14, 7.

Okay. What's the bail status of the defendant?

MR. HOBSON: Your Honor, the defendant is on bail secured by a substantial package. To our knowledge, he has not violated or had any violations while on pretrial release. We don't have an objection to the conditions continuing pending sentencing.

THE COURT: All right.

All of the conditions of the defendant's release are continued.

Mr. Mashinsky, do you understand that if you fail to

```
return to my courtroom on the date fixed for sentencing, or any adjourned date, you will be guilty of a criminal offense for which you could be sentenced to imprisonment wholly separate, apart from, and in addition to, any other sentence that you may receive for the crimes to which you just entered a plea of guilty?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that I have
```

THE COURT: Do you also understand that I have continued all of the conditions of your bail, and the violation of any of those conditions can have serious consequences for you?

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Well, I will see all of you on the date fixed for sentence or any adjourned date. I'm returning Court Exhibit 1 to the government.

Anything further?

MR. HOBSON: No, your Honor.

MS. YOUNG: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, all.

(Adjourned)